

OASIS+

GSA's Future Multi-Agency, Multiple Award Contract Program for Services

Pre-Proposal Conference June 15, 2023



OASIS+ Welcome and Agenda

Agenda

- ➤ Who's Who
- Brief Overview of OASIS+
- > Timeline
- Proposal Instructions
- Evaluation Strategy
- Closing Remarks



<u>Important Note</u>: Information in this presentation is consistent with the issued solicitation(s) and intended to aid in your understanding as you prepare your proposal. This highlights key areas and is not a substitute for your complete review of the solicitation and all amendments as applicable.



OASIS+ Who's Who



Assistant Commissioner of the Office of Professional Services and Human Capital Categories and Head of the Contracting Activity	Tiffany Hixson
Program Manager	Susan Wallace
Contracting Officer (Small Business) Contracting Officer (Unrestricted, 8(a)) Contracting Officer (WOSB, HUBZone, SDVOSB)	Josh Houseworth Shelba Young Valerie Ates
Note: The OASIS+ Contracting Officers are the sole point of contact for all questions under this solicitation.	
Assistant Commissioner of the Office of Policy and Compliance	Mark Lee
Senior Procurement Executive	Jeff Koses



OASIS+ Contract Program













Six (6) Individual RFPs

*SBA has granted a one-time, one-year extension to the current veteran small businesses verified by the Veterans Administration Center for Verification and Evaluation (CVE) as of Jan. 1, 2023.



OASIS+ Unique Features

OASIS+: A Streamlined Multi-Agency/Multiple Award IDIQ Ordering Environment through Six (6) Contract Families



Flexible/Expandable Domain-Based Structure



Industrial Base of Highly Qualified Contractors



Global Access to Commercial & NonCommercial Services



10-Year Order Period (Base of 5 + One 5 Year Option Period)



No Contract Ceiling or Cap on Awards



Open On-Ramping After Initial Awards



Technology Based Ordering & Market Research Tools



Price Evaluation at the Contract Level



OASIS+ Scope & Fair Opportunity Structure

OASIS+ SCOPE & FAIR OPPORTUNITY STRUCTURE







OASIS+ Timeline







Proposal Instructions



From Section L.3 - PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

- The Government intends to use the OASIS+ Submission Portal (OSP) for proposal preparation, proposal evaluation, and contract management purposes. Training will be provided to Contractors regarding the use of this system for proposal submission and post award requirements.
- The electronic solicitation documents, as posted on https://www.SAM.gov, shall be the "official" documents for this solicitation. In the event of a discrepancy between the electronic solicitation documents, as posted on SAM.gov, and the OSP, the electronic solicitation documents on SAM.gov, shall take precedence.
- The Government will <u>not</u> reimburse Offerors for any cost incurred for the preparation and submission of a proposal in response to this solicitation.



Proposal Instructions (cont.)



Section	Title	Submission Requirement	Scored Element
L.5.1	General	Mandatory	Non-Scored
L.5.2	Qualifying Project Experience	Non-Mandatory	Scored
L.5.3	Federal Prime Contractor Experience	Non-Mandatory	Scored
L.5.4	Systems, Rates, and Clearances	Non-Mandatory	Scored
L.5.5	Other Certifications	Non-Mandatory	Scored
L.5.6	Past Performance	Non-Mandatory	Scored
L.5.7	Cost/Price	Mandatory	Non-Scored
L.5.8	Responsibility	Mandatory	Non-Scored



Section L.5.1 - General



- L.5.1.1 Standard Form 33
- L.5.1.3.1 Joint Venture, if applicable
- L.5.1.3.2 Proposed [Small Business] Subcontractors, if applicable
- L.5.1.4 Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCLs), if applicable
- L.5.1.5 Representations and Certifications
- L.5.1.6 Domain and NAICS Selection
 - Solicitation NAICS Code: 541990
 - Awardee NAICS Code: Based on awarded Domains
- L.5.1.7 Verification of Project Experience
- L.5.1.8 Individual (Preferred) or Commercial Subcontracting Plan Submissions Required for OTSBs (Unrestricted Only)



Offeror Teaming



- Note: OASIS+ teams do not mirror MAS CTAs
- Offerors are not required to team
- Pay careful attention to RFP Sections L&M
- Statutory and Regulatory Guidance
 - 15 U.S.C. § 644
 - 13 CFR § 125.8
 - 13 CFR § 125.2
 - FAR
- MPJV Changes
 - 50% threshold for protege projects
 - Minimum 1 relevant QP from Protege of MPJV
 - Attachment J.P-7

OASIS+ Small Business and Socioeconomic RFP(s)

- Offeror may claim credit for joint venture member experience IAW Section L.5.1.3.1
- Offeror may claim credit for proposed small business subcontractor experience IAW Section L.5.1.3.2
- Offerors will only be awarded Domain CLINs based on entire team's size
- Minimum QP submission for Socioeconomic RFPs

OASIS+ Unrestricted RFP

- Offeror may claim credit for joint venture member experience IAW Section L.5.1.3.1
- Offeror may claim credit for proposed subcontractor experience IAW Section L.5.1.3.2
- No size requirements for CTAs
- Offerors will be awarded all Domain CLINs, regardless of team composition



Section L.5.2.1 - Qualifying Project Definition



To be considered a Qualifying Project (QP), each submitted project must meet <u>all</u> of the following minimum criteria:

- 1. Be any of the following:
 - a. A single contract including prime contracts, subcontracts, and commercial contracts; or
 - A single task order awarded under an indefinite delivery task order contract (Definite Quantity, Requirements, or Indefinite Quantity), Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA), or Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA), including single or multiple award; or
 - c. A task order under a Federal Supply Schedule contract (FAR 8.405-2) or BPA (FAR 8.405-3); or
 - d. An Other Transaction Authority (OTA) award issued under 10 U.S.C. 4021 or other applicable authority; or
 - e. A "Collection of Task Orders" as defined in Section L.5.2.1 (below).
 - i. Offerors are limited to the submission of one (1) "Collection of Task Orders" per Domain submission.



Section L.5.2.1 - Qualifying Project Definition (cont.)



- 2. Be a contract or order for services as defined in FAR part 37.
- 3. Meet or exceed a **minimum average annual value** specified within Attachment J.P-1, OASIS+ Domain Qualifications Matrix for each proposed Domain.
- 4. Be ongoing (with at least six months of completed performance from RFP to closing date) or completed within five years from the date of RFP issuance (June 15, 2023). There is no minimum period of performance for completed projects; **and**
- 5. Cannot have an associated record of negative past performance (e.g. on a five point scale, average of scores <3.0). See Sections L.5.6 and M.5.6 for more details.



Note for Socioeconomic RFPs Regarding QPs



For award under the OASIS+ 8(a), HUBZone, WOSB, and SDVOSB RFPs

A minimum of two QPs must be from a member "similarly situated to the set-aside".

See example from OASIS+ 8(a) below:

For award under the OASIS+ 8(a) IDIQ, a minimum of two QPs must be from an SBA-certified 8(a) small business concern. The submitted QPs can be from an 8(a) member of a joint venture, the offering 8(a) joint venture, or a proposed 8(a) subcontractor, as described in Section L.5.1.3.1...



Section L.5.2 - Qualifying Project Experience



- L.5.2.3 Qualifying Project Experience Domain-Specific Qualifications
 Matrices
- L.5.2.3.1 Qualifying Project Experience Relevance
 - Atch J.P-4 OASIS+ Domain Auto-Relevant NAICS Codes and PSCs
- L.5.2.3.2 Qualifying Project Experience Scale
- L.5.2.3.3 Qualifying Project Experience Integrated Experience
 - L.5.2.3.3.1 Qualifying Project Experience Integrated Experience (Enterprise Solutions)
- L.5.2.3.4 Qualifying Project Experience Management & Staffing
- L.5.2.3.5 Qualifying Project Experience Specialized Functional Experience
- L.5.2.3.6 Qualifying Project Experience Multiple & OCONUS Locations (Enterprise Solutions)



Section L.5.3 - Federal Experience



- L.5.3.1 Federal Prime Contractor Experience Competition in Multiple Award Environments (Federal Government Contracts Only)
- L.5.3.2 Federal Prime Contractor Experience Federal Agencies (Federal Government Contracts Only)
- L.5.3.3 Federal Prime Contractor Experience Cost Reimbursement Type Contracts (Federal Government Contracts Only)
- L.5.3.4 Federal Prime Contractor Experience Advanced R&D (Federal Government Contracts Only)



Section L.5.4 - Systems, Rates, & Clearances



Section	Requirement	Applicability
L.5.4.1	Adequate Accounting System	SB/SE/UR
L.5.4.2	Forward Pricing Rate Agreements, Forward Pricing Rate Recommendations, Provisional Billing Rates, or Other Approved Billing Rates	UR
L.5.4.3	Approved Purchasing System	UR
L.5.4.4	Acceptable Estimating System	UR
L.5.4.5	Earned Value Management System	UR
L.5.4.6	Material Management and Accounting System	UR
L.5.4.7	Adequate Property Management System	UR
L.5.4.8	Government Facility Clearance	SB/SE/UR



Section L.5.5 - Certifications



Certifications

- L.5.5.1 Capability Maturity Model Integration
- L.5.5.2 International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 27001:2013 (Information Security)
- L.5.5.3 ISO 9001:2015 (Quality Management)
- L.5.5.4 ISO 22301 (Business Continuity)
- L.5.5.5 ISO 21508 (Earned Value Management)
- L.5.5.6 ISO 28001:2007 (Supply Chain)

L.5.5.7 - Sustainability-related Disclosures and Certifications

- L.5.5.7.1 Public Disclosure of Scope 1 and 2 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions
- L.5.5.7.2 ISO 14001:2015 (Environmental Management)
- L.5.5.7.3 NSF/ANSI 391.1 Certification (General Sustainability Assessment for Professional Services)
- L.5.5.8 FedRAMP/Agency Authorization to Operate



Section L.5.6 - Past Performance



Past performance will be evaluated for Relevant QPs submitted under Section L.5.2.2; Non-Relevant QPs will <u>not</u> be considered for past performance evaluation under this section.

- L.5.6.1 Past Performance (when CPARS information exists)
- L.5.6.2 Past Performance (when CPARS information does not exist)
- Attachment J.P-6, Past Performance Rating Form
- SB Utilization (UR RFP)



Section L.5.7 - Cost/Price



- Offerors are required to submit ceiling rate pricing on 20 OASIS+ top labor categories
- Only subject matter expert (SME) pricing is required
- Accepted costs/prices will be an Attachment of Section J included in each awardee's Master Contract
- Attachment J.P-8, Direct Labor Rate Ranges
- Attachment J.P-9, Cost/Price Template

Notice will be provided in the future for where to upload pricing in the OSP.



Section L.5.8 - Responsibility



- L.5.8.1 Professional Employee Compensation Plan
- L.5.8.2 Uncompensated Overtime Policy
- L.5.8.3 Financial Resources
- L.5.8.4 Cybersecurity & Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM)



OASIS+ Evaluation Strategy



From Section M.3 - BASIS FOR AWARDS

- Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation will be awarded credits in accordance with unique Qualifications Matrices for [all proposed] MA-IDIQs.
- Each Domain has a specific Qualifications Matrix and corresponding qualifying threshold to ensure the minimum standards are representative of customer needs in that mission space.
- To receive a Domain award, the offer must meet or exceed the Domain-specific qualification threshold at Section M.7 through the submission requirements in Section L. Excluding the minimum mandatory submission requirements detailed in Section L.5.1, L.5.7 and L.5.8, the Offeror has the discretion to use any combination of qualifications detailed in the Qualifications Matrix to achieve the qualifying threshold. Offerors that fail to demonstrate a particular qualification will simply not receive credit for that criterion.



Evaluation Strategy All Qualified Offerors with a Fair and Reasonable Price



- GSA will not be limiting the number of awards under OASIS+
- The basis for awards will be All Qualified Offerors with a Fair and Reasonable Price
- Cost/Price will be determined fair and reasonable in accordance with Section M.8



OASIS+ Domain Qualification Standards



- Qualifying threshold must be met using a combination of project and corporate-level criteria
 - Majority of credit comes from "Qualifying Projects"
 - Multiple avenues to meet Domain qualification standard
 - Tailored Factors Support Domain's Critical Mission Scope
 - Offers will be evaluated against an objective standard and threshold for each proposed domain (i.e. qualifying thresholds in Attachment J.P-1), and will not be evaluated against, or compared to, other offers
- Enterprise Solutions Domain (Unrestricted Only)
 - Mission critical requirements (\$250M+), criteria targets highly qualified technically capable companies to perform specialized and/or complex services
- Intelligence Domain
 - Additional credit for cleared facilities and/or personnel



Technical & Engineering Domain (SB)

The minimum average annual value for a QP is \$500K for this Domain and socioeconomic RFPs.				
#	RFP Section	Capability	Qualification	Max # of Credits
1	L.5.2.3.1	QP - Relevance	Relevant QP: Each Relevant QP receives 4 evaluation credits; each non-Relevant QP receives 0 credits for this item, but can receive credit for items 2, 3, and 4.	20
2	L.5.2.3.2	QP - Scale	Offeror receives 1 credit for each QP that demonstrates any one of the following:Average annual value ≥ \$1M or 5 FTEsAverage annual value ≥ \$4M or 20 FTEs (this credit is in addition to the credit for \$1M / 5 FTEs) Note that credit is provided for total annual project value and/or FTEs, not just the portion relevant to this Domain. *Under this category, a QP can achieve more than one credit up to the max # of credits allowed, if it meets more than one of the criteria.	6
3	L.5.2.3.3	QP - Integrated Experience	Offeror receives 1 credit for each QP that demonstrates one of the following: Performance spanned 5 or more different Labor Categories OR Performance spanned 3 or more distinct functional areas (ref. Attachment J.P-5, Functional Areas and Subareas) *Under this category, each QP can only achieve 1 credit max	5
4	L.5.2.3.4	QP - Management & Staffing	Offeror receives credit for QPs demonstrating any of the following: Surge Capability: providing surge support with ≤ 45 days lead time Managing 3 or more first-tier subcontractors/teaming partners Providing services that involve 5 or more personnel with individual security clearances: Secret, Top Secret, Q (DOE) *Under this category, a QP can achieve more than one credit if it meets more than one of the criteria	7
5	L.5.6	Past Performance	Offeror receives 1 credit for 3 Relevant QPs with a Positive Past Performance Rating (e.g., >3.0 on a 5 point scale). Offeror receives 2 credits under this criterion if 4 QPs are Relevant with a Positive Past Performance Rating, and 3 credits if all 5 are Relevant with a Positive Past Performance Rating. Offeror does not receive credit for a QP with a satisfactory rating, a neutral rating (i.e., lack of past performance information), or a non-relevant QP (regardless of the PP score), but they can still use those QPs to claim other QP-based credit IAW criteria 1 through 4.	3



Technical & Engineering Domain (SB)



6	L.5.3.1	FEP - Competition in Multiple Award Environments	Offeror receives credit for competitive task orders awarded in MA-IDIQ or MA-BPA environment (1 for each award). To be considered competitive, the task order solicitation must have been issued using competitive procedures. Offeror may include up to 4 additional projects for this factor.	4
7	L.5.3.2	FEP - Federal Agencies	Offeror receives 1 credit for providing services in support of 3 or more distinct Federal Agencies. Offeror may include up to 3 additional projects for this factor.	1
8	L.5.4.1	Accounting System	Offeror receives 2 credits for having an adequate accounting system supported by documentation described in the RFP.	2
9	L.5.4.8	Government Facility Clearance	Offeror receives 1 credit for having one of any of the following Facility Clearance Levels: Top SecretSecret FCL	1
10	L.5.5	Other Certifications	Offeror receives 1 credit for having one of any of the following certifications: Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) - Level 2 or higher ISO 27001:2013 (Information Security) ISO 9001:2015 (Quality Management) ISO 22301 (Business Continuity)	1
		·	TOTAL CREDITS AVAILABLE	50
			OASIS+ SB Qualification Threshold	36



Technical and Engineering Domain (UR)

		The minim	um average annual value for a QP is \$1M for this Domain and unrestricted RFP.			
#	RFP Section	Capability	Qualification	Max # of Credits		
1	L.5.2.3.1	QP - Relevance	Relevant QP: Each Relevant QP receives 4 evaluation credits; each non-Relevant QP receives 0 credits for this item, but can receive credit for items 2, 3, and 4.			
2	L.5.2.3.2	QP - Scale	Offeror receives 1 credit for each QP that demonstrates any one of the following:Average annual value ≥ \$2.5M or 12 FTEsAverage annual value ≥ \$10M or 50 FTEs (this credit is in addition to the credit for \$2.5M / 12 FTEs) Note that credit is provided for total annual project value and/or FTEs, not just the portion Relevant to this Domain. *Under this category, a QP can achieve more than one credit if it meets more than one of the criteria			
3	L.5.2.3.3	QP - Integrated Experience	Offeror receives 1 credit for each QP that demonstrates one of the following: Performance spanned 5 or more different Labor Categories OR Performance spanned 3 or more distinct functional areas (ref. Attachment J.P-5, Functional Areas and Subareas) *Under this category, each QP can only achieve 1 credit max	4		
4	L.5.2.3.4	QP - Management & Staffing	Offeror receives credit for QPs demonstrating any of the following: Surge Capability: providing surge support with ≤ 45 days lead time Managing 5 or more first-tier subcontractors/teaming partners Providing services that involve 5 or more personnel with individual security clearances: Secret, Top Secret, Q (DOE) *Under this category, a QP can achieve more than one credit if it meets more than one of the criteria	5		
5	L.5.6	Past Performance	Offeror receives 1 credit for 3 Relevant QPs with a Positive Past Performance Rating (e.g., >3.0 on a 5 point scale). Offeror receives 2 credits under this criterion if 4 QPs are Relevant with a Positive Past Performance Rating, and 3 credits if all 5 are Relevant with a Positive Past Performance Rating. Offeror does not receive credit for a QP with a satisfactory rating, a neutral rating (i.e., lack of past performance information), or a non-relevant QP (regardless of the PP score), but they can still use those QPs to claim other QP-based credit IAW criteria 1 through 4.	3		
6	L.5.6	Past Performance / SB Utilization	Offeror receives 1 credit if Past Performance across Relevant QPs shows an average rating of Very Good or above (\geq 4.0 out of 5) for SB subcontracting rating element (formerly called Utilization of Small Business). If entity performing project was a small business concern at the time of award of project, that project does not factor into the overall average rating. If all QPs were performed as a small business concern, Offeror can claim credit for this element.	1		



Technical and Engineering Domain (UR)



7	L.5.3.1	FEP - Competition in Multiple Award Environments	Offeror receives credit for competitive task orders awarded in MA-IDIQ or MA-BPA environment (1 for each award). To be considered competitive, the task order solicitation must have been issued using competitive procedures. Offeror may include up to 4 additional projects for this factor.	
8	L.5.3.2	FEP - Federal Agencies	Offeror receives 1 credit for providing services in support of 3 or more distinct Federal Agencies. Offeror may include up to 3 additional projects for this factor.	1
9	L.5.4	Contractor Business Systems	Offeror receives 1 credit for having 2 of the following adequate systems or rates; Offeror receives 1 additional credit for having 3 or more adequate systems or rates: Accounting SystemApproved Rates (e.g., Forward Pricing/Billing) Purchasing SystemEstimating SystemEVMS Material Management and Accounting SystemProperty Management System	2
10	L.5.4.8	Government Facility Clearance	Offeror receives 1 credit for having one of any of the following Facility Clearance Levels: Top SecretSecret FCL	1
11	L.5.5.7	Sustainability-Related Disclosures and Certifications	Offeror receives 1 credit for having one of either of the following certifications: NSF/ANSI 391.1 ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems Offeror receives 1 credit for: Public disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions developed in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting.	2
12	L.5.5	Other Certifications	Offeror receives 1 credit for having one of any of the following certifications: Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) - Level 2 or higher ISO 27001:2013 (Information Security) ISO 9001:2015 (Quality Management) ISO 22301 (Business Continuity)	1
			TOTAL CREDITS AVAILABLE	50
			OASIS+ UR Qualification Threshold	42



Mentor Protégé Offerors Scorecard



PROTÉGÉ OFFERORS Qualifying Project and Federal Experience Project Values

Qualifying Projects (QPs) and Federal Experience Projects (FEPs) submitted in the name of the protégé partner of a Mentor-Protégé Joint Venture need only meet 50 percent of the applicable Domain QP or FEP Minimum Average Annual Dollar Value and QP - Scale Thresholds.

<u>Domain</u>	IDIQ	Minimum Average Annual <u>Dollar Value</u>	1st Tier QP - Scale <u>Threshold</u>		2nd Tier QP - Scale <u>Threshold</u>	
			\$	FTE	\$	FTE
Qualifying Projects	S:					
T&E	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	\$2,000,000	10
	OASIS+ UR	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	6	\$5,000,000	25
M&A	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	\$2,000,000	10
	OASIS+ UR	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	6	\$5,000,000	25
R&D	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	N/A	\$3,750,000	N/A
	OASIS+ UR	\$625,000	\$1,500,000	N/A	\$5,000,000	N/A
INTEL	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	\$2,000,000	10
	OASIS+ UR	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	6	\$5,000,000	25
ENT	OASIS+ UR	\$25,000,000	\$37,500,000	N/A	\$50,000,000	N/A
ENV	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$125,000	\$250,000	2	\$500,000	3
	OASIS+ UR	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	6	\$5,000,000	25
FAC	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$125,000	\$250,000	2	\$500,000	3
	OASIS+ UR	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	\$2,000,000	10
LOG	OASIS+ SB/SE	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	\$2,000,000	10
	OASIS+ UR	\$500,000	\$1,250,000	6	\$5,000,000	25
Federal Experience	e Projects:		,	'	,	
All	All	\$125,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Acceptability Review and Evaluation Process

- All proposals will be evaluated
- The evaluation team will initially verify that the proposal meets all of the acceptability review requirements in Section M.5 of the solicitation
- The evaluation team will evaluate and verify the proposal's supporting documentation for each evaluation element
- If the proposal does not meet or exceed the Domain Qualification Threshold, the evaluation for that proposal will stop, and the Offeror will be eliminated from further consideration for award



OASIS+ Closing Remarks



- Read RFP, attachments, amendments, published questions and responses
- Ensure proposal is complete, compliant, and provides verification for claimed scoring elements
- Offerors must submit all questions regarding the solicitation via the OSP by 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time on July 6, 2023
- Questions regarding the OSP (e.g., access, system issues, and upload issues) must be submitted via the OSP help desk at <u>client.support@apexlogic.com</u>
- Any offerors and team members experiencing SAM.gov delays, which are impacting their ability to submit their proposal, must notify the OASIS+CO no later than August 31, 2023, via email to OASISplus@gsa.gov
- Proposals are due no later than 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time on September
 13, 2023
- Monitor <u>SAM.gov</u> for future questions and responses and any amendments



Thank You For Your Interest and Effort

